

A novel RNA-binding motif in omnipotent suppressors of translation termination, ribosomal proteins and a ribosome modification enzyme?

Eugene V.Koonin*, Peer Bork^{1,2} and Chris Sander¹

National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20894, USA, ¹Molecular Biology Laboratory, Meyerhofstrasse 1, D-69012 Heidelberg and ²Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine, D-13189 Berlin, Germany

Received March 15, 1994; Accepted April 25, 1994

ABSTRACT

Using computer methods for database search, multiple alignment, protein sequence motif analysis and secondary structure prediction, a putative new RNA-binding motif was identified. The novel motif is conserved in yeast omnipotent translation suppressor SUP1, the related DOM34 protein and its pseudogene homologue; three groups of eukaryotic and archaeal ribosomal proteins, namely L30e, L7Ae/S6e and S12e; an uncharacterized *Bacillus subtilis* protein related to the L7A/S6e group; and *Escherichia coli* ribosomal protein modification enzyme RimK. We hypothesize that a new type of RNA-binding domain may be utilized to deliver additional activities to the ribosome.

Mutations in at least five essential yeast genes result in the 'omnipotent suppressor phenotype', i.e. suppression of translation termination at all three stop codons (1). *SUP44* encodes ribosomal protein S4 (2); *SUP46* encodes ribosomal protein S13 (ref. 3; synonyms Ys11 and Yp28); and *SUP35* encodes a protein containing a domain related to elongation factor EF1 α (4). *SUP1* (synonyms *SAL4*, *SUP45*, *YBR11.20*) gene product is associated with the 40S ribosome subunit and is thought to be involved in translation termination (5,6). Genes encoding highly conserved homologues of SUP1 have been identified in man, *Xenopus laevis* and *Arabidopsis* (7–9). SUP1 proteins are distantly related to the product of yeast gene *DOM34* (chromosome XIV) and its pseudogene homologue on chromosome III (10,11). Alignment of the DOM34 and SUP1 sequences (11) scored 8.4 SD on a 237 amino acid residue overlap, which is indicative of a genuine relationship (12).

A non-redundant amino acid sequence database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, NIH) was searched for similarity to the SUP1-related proteins using the BLAST program (13). The output of the BLAST search was analyzed for mutually consistent alignments; multiple alignment blocks constructed from conserved segments of such alignments were converted to position-dependent matrices and used for further database search (R.L.Tatusov, S.F.Altschul and E.V.K., unpublished). A

sec. structure	1111	hhhhhhhhhh1111bbbbb111	
DOM34 yeast	216	NKDDDKAWYGEKEVVKAAEYGAISYLLLTDKV	63 P33309
DOM34R (III) yeast	?	SKDDNKAWYGAEEETRAAKLDAIETLLITD Φ V	? X59720G
SUP1 yeast	291	SQDTGKFCYGIIDDTLKALDLGAVEKLVFEML	114 P12385
SUP1 Arabidopsis	294	SQDTGKVFQVEDTLKALDMGAVETLVVWEML	109 S31328P
SUP1 Xenopus	294	SQDTGKFCYQVEDTLKALDMGAVEILIVYEML	111 S31633P
RPL30 human	20	VMKSGKTVLQYKQTLKMIQGGKAKLVILANNC	63 P04645
RPL32 yeast	16	VIKSGKTYLQYKSTVVKSLRQGGKSLIIIAAMT	57 P14120
RPL30 T.cruzi	10	AQDTGKIVMGARKSIIQYAKMGGAQLIIVARMA	59 P29160
RPL30 M.vannielii	15	AVDTGNVVLQTKQAIKNIKHGEGKLVIIAGWC	58 P14025
RPL30 S.acidocald.	11	LLRSGKVIILGTRKTLKLLKTKGKVGVVVSSSTL	61 P11522
RPS6 H.marismortui	23	ARDTGAVKQGTNETTKSIERGSaelVVFVAEDV	62 P12743
NHP2 yeast	66	ASKAKNVKRGVKEVVKALRKGKGLVVIAGDI	75 P32495
RPL4A yeast	125	SPKPYAVKYQLNHVVALIENKAKLVLIANDV	113 P17076
YIF4 B.subtilis	12	ANRARKVVSQEDLVIKETIRNARAKLVLLTEDA	56 P32729
RPL7A rice	121	AKKPIVVKYQLNHVYLYIEQSKAQLVVIHAHV	105 D12631G
RPL7A human	129	TKRPPVLRAGVNTVTLVVENKAQLVVIHAHV	105 P11518
RPS12 T.brucei	39	ARETNGLICGLSEVTRALDRRTAHLVLAADD	73 S24781P
RPS12 rat	24	ALIHDLARGIREAAKALDKRQAHLCVLA Φ MC	76 P09388
RimK E.coli	117	TSDLIDIVGGAPLVVKLVESTQGGIVVLAETR	143 P17116
consensus	O..G.....QJ.....OUU..Z.	

Figure 1. Conserved motif in omnipotent suppressor gene products, three groups of ribosomal proteins and RimK. The alignment of the 32 residue protein segments was generated by database search with a position-dependent weight matrix as described in the text. Several highly conserved sequences of ribosomal proteins are omitted. The sequence of the human homologue of SUP1 (7) is not shown as it appeared to contain multiple frameshift errors in the region coding for the conserved motif. Distinct groups of proteins, namely the SUP1 family; the L30e family; the L7Ae/S6e family; the S12e family; and RimK, are separated by blank lines. In the L30e family, the conserved region includes the previously derived PROSITE signature (PS00709; ref. 20). In the consensus, O designates a hydrophobic residue (I,L,V,M,F,Y,W,C,A), U designates a bulky hydrophobic residue (I,L,V,M,F), Z designates a polar residue (K,R,D,E,S,T,N,Q,H), J designates a charged residue (K,R,D,E). The secondary structure is based on the information in all sequences in the multiple alignment (14). h designates α -helix, b designates β strand, and l designates loop; no symbol is shown for positions where the prediction was uncertain. For each sequence, the distances from the protein ends are indicated by numbers. The sequences were from the current databases and are accompanied by their accession numbers in SwissProt, PIR (P) or GenBank (G). DOM34(III) is the pseudogene homologue of DOM34 encoded on chromosome III (10,11). NHP2 is a nuclear protein related to the L7Ae/S6e family of ribosomal proteins (21).

conserved counterpart to one of these motifs was identified in three groups of eukaryotic and archaeal ribosomal proteins, namely L30e, L7Ae/S6e and S12e; and in *Escherichia coli* protein RimK. We also found that an uncharacterized *Bacillus*

* To whom correspondence should be addressed

subtilis protein belongs to the L7Ae/S6e group (Fig. 1). Only one glycine residue is strictly conserved in all these proteins, but several positions are occupied by physico-chemically related residues. The region shown in Fig. 1 was independently identified as the most conserved block in multiple alignments constructed separately for the SUP1-related proteins and three groups of ribosomal proteins using the MACAW program (15). The similarity between SUP1 and ribosomal proteins is evident from both a pairwise comparison and a motif search: the probability of the SUP1 homologue from Arabidopsis and archaeal S6 protein matching by chance is about 3.5×10^{-3} ; and, a position-dependent matrix constructed from the ribosomal protein alignment selectively retrieved all the SUP1-related sequences from the database (data not shown). These observations suggest that despite the scarcity of invariant amino acids, the alignment in Fig. 1 represents a conserved structural unit.

Secondary structure prediction (14) suggested conservation of an α -helix and a β -strand in SUP1 and the related proteins (Fig. 1). In those ribosomal proteins whose three-dimensional structure has been resolved, the RNA-binding domain has a mixed α/β structure, with characteristic, conserved hydrophobic β -strands (16–18). The ribosomal association of SUP1 may be through RNA binding and the alignment in Fig. 1 may therefore represent a new type of RNA-binding motif that is shared by SUP1 proteins and several groups of ribosomal proteins. The structure and function of the distinct N-terminal domain of SUP1 that is not related to any known proteins remain to be determined. Another omnipotent suppressor gene product, SUP35 also has a two-domain organization (4).

RimK is an enzyme that catalyzes addition of glutamic acid residues to the N-terminus of *E. coli* ribosomal protein S6 (19). Our finding of a motif that is conserved between RimK and several groups of ribosome-associated proteins raises the intriguing possibility that RimK has to be specifically positioned on the ribosome through binding to rRNA, in order to modify S6.

These findings indicate that all known yeast omnipotent suppressor genes encode ribosomal proteins or ribosome-associated factors and that a new type of RNA-binding domain may be used to deliver additional activities to the ribosome.

REFERENCES

1. Eustice, D.C. *et al.* (1986) *J. Mol. Biol.* **188**, 207–214.
2. All-Robyn, J.A. *et al.* (1990) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **10**, 6544–6553.
3. Vincent, A. and Liebman, S.W. (1992) *Genetics* **132**, 375–386.
4. Didichenko, S.A., Ter-Avanesyan, M.D. and Smirnov, V.N. (1991) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **198**, 705–711.
5. Breining, P. and Piepersberg, P. (1986) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **14**, 5187–5197.
6. Stansfield, I. *et al.* (1992) *Mol. Microbiol.* **6**, 3469–3478.
7. Grenett, H.E., Bounelis, P. and Fuller, G.M. (1992) *Gene* **110**, 239–243.
8. Tassan, J.P. *et al.* (1993) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**, 2815–2821.
9. Quigley, F.R. (1992) GenBank X69375.
10. Lalo, D. *et al.* (1993) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sciences de la vie* **316**, 367–373.
11. Koonin, E.V., Bork, P. and Sander, C. (1994) *EMBO J.* **13**, 493–503.
12. Gorbalenya, A.E. *et al.* (1989) *J. Mol. Evol.* **28**, 256–268.
13. Altschul, S.F. *et al.* (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410.
14. Rost, B. and Sander, C. (1993) *J. Mol. Biol.* **232**, 584–599.
15. Schuler, G.D., Altschul, S.F. and Lipman, D.J. (1991) *Proteins* **9**, 180–190.
16. Wilson, K.S. *et al.* (1986) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83**, 7251–7255.
17. Leijonmark, M. and Liljas, A. (1987) *J. Mol. Biol.* **195**, 555–580.
18. Leijonmark, M. *et al.* (1988) *Proteins* **3**, 243–251.
19. Kang, K.W. *et al.* (1989) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **217**, 281–288.
20. Bairoch, A. (1993) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**, 3097–3103.
21. Kolodrubetz, D. and Burgun, A. (1991) *Yeast* **7**, 79–90.